



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,901	12/14/2006	Bertrand Maquin	293846US0PCT	2539

22850	7590	09/15/2009
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.		
1940 DUKE STREET		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		

EXAMINER	
BOLDEN, ELIZABETH A	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1793	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/587,901	Applicant(s) MAQUIN ET AL.	
	Examiner ELIZABETH A. BOLDEN	Art Unit 1793	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 28 July 2006 has been considered by the examiner.

Examiner's Claim Comments

Claims 2, 4, 6, 8, and 11 each have preferred ranges recited in the claims, these preferred ranges are non-limiting recitations in the claims. If the Applicants wish protection over these preferred ranges the Applicant should recite these limitations in the dependant claims of their own.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Itoh et al., U.S. Patent 5,558,942.

Itoh et al. disclose a glass comprising manganese oxide and vanadium oxide. See abstract, column 1, lines 59-64, and column 2, lines 15-20. Itoh et al. disclose that the addition of these components increase the absorption in the UV region. See column 1, lines 59-65 and column 2, lines 43-51.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0110625.

Art Unit: 1793

Smith et al. disclose a glass comprising manganese oxide and vanadium oxide. See abstract and paragraphs [0052] and [0053]. Smith et al. disclose that the addition of these components increase the absorption in the UV region. See Abstract, and paragraphs [0052] and [0053].

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seto et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication 2001/0034295.

Seto et al. disclose a glass comprising manganese oxide and vanadium oxide. See abstract and paragraph [0053]. Seto et al. disclose that the addition of these components increase the absorption in the UV region. See abstract and paragraph [0053].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 11-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh et al., U.S. Patent 5,558,942.

Itoh et al. teach a soda lime silica glass comprising 0.06-0.18 % by weight of T-Fe₂O₃, 0.36-0.65% by weight of MnO₂, and 0.08-0.2% by weight of V₂O₅. See column 1, line 59 to column 2, line 1 and column 2, lines 9-11, 15-20, and 43-51. Itoh et al. teach an iron redox of 10% or less. See column 2, lines 9-11. Itoh et al. teach that the soda lime silica glass can comprise 0-0.0018 % by weight of CoO. See column 2, lines 21-29. Itoh et al. teach a soda lime silica glass. See column 1, lines 29-28. Itoh et al. teach that the soda lime silica glass is a plate glass and is made by the float glass process. See column 1, lines 1-7 and column 3, line 50 to column 4, line 8. Itoh et al. teach that the uv transmittance is at most 10%. See column 4, lines 55-58.

Art Unit: 1793

Itoh et al. fail to teach any examples or compositional ranges that are sufficiently specific to anticipate the compositional limitations of claims 1-8 and 11-17. However, overlapping ranges have been held to establish prima facie obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected from the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to establish prima facie obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

One of ordinary skill in the art would expect that a glass with overlapping compositional ranges would have the properties recited in claims 1 and 5-8. Products of identical composition may not have mutually exclusive properties. In re Spada 15 USPQ2d 1655,1658 (Fed. Circ. 1990).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In reviewing the prior art for these claims, the further restriction of requiring the specified narrowed weight percentage ranges of $T\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, $V_2\text{O}_5$, and MnO_2 render these claims allowable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELIZABETH A. BOLDEN whose telephone number is (571)272-1363. The examiner can normally be reached on 10 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elizabeth A. Bolden
Examiner
Art Unit 1793

EAB
9 September 2009

/Karl E Group/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793